

Evaluation of the agronomic and oenological characteristics of promising varieties in Quebec.



Caroline Provost¹, Larbi Zerouala², Richard Bastien³ et Jérémie d'Hauteville³.

¹ Centre de Recherche Agroalimentaire de Mirabel, 9850 rue Belle-Rivière, Mirabel (Qc), J0N 2X8;

² Ministère de l'Agriculture, des Pêcheries et de l'Alimentation du Québec , 617 Curé Labelle, Bureau 100, Blainville (Qc), J7C 2J1

³ OenoQuébec, 6560 de l'Esplanade #106, Montréal (Qc), H2V 4L5

Correspondence: provost.cram@yahoo.ca

Introduction

For twenty years, Quebec's viticulture has been in development. Special conditions in Quebec require a specific evaluation of agronomic and oenological parameters for promising varieties. However, few public scientific studies have been conducted. So, the Agricultural Research Center of Mirabel has established a vineyard to assess hardy varieties. Thus, the main objective of this ongoing study is to assess the agronomic and oenological qualities of several rustic varieties in regard to sustainable economic development for a producer. For five years, agronomic characteristics of eighteen varieties have been studied and for two years, the oenological parameters have been considered.

Results

Table II: Type of development of the different varieties in Quebec, Canada

| Varieties | Bud | Flowering | Fruit development | Berry ripening | Vigour index |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Frontenac | intermediate | intermediate | intermediate | intermediate | high |
| St-Croix | intermediate | late | late | late | high |
| Marquette | semi hasty | intermediate | intermediate | intermediate | high |
| Baltica | hasty | hasty | hasty | hasty | medium |
| Petite Perle | late | late | late | late | medium |
| E.S. 5-17 | intermediate | late | intermediate | late | high |
| Skandia | semi hasty | intermediate | intermediate | hasty | high |
| D.M. 85-21-1 | semi hasty | hasty | hasty | hasty | medium |
| Somerset | semi hasty | intermediate | intermediate | hasty | medium |
| Frontenac gris | late | intermediate | intermediate | intermediate | high |
| Frontenac blanc | late | intermediate | intermediate | intermediate | high |
| La Crescent | semi hasty | intermediate | intermediate | intermediate | high |
| E.S. 10-18-30 | intermediate | hasty | intermediate | intermediate | low |
| Louise Swenson | semi hasty | late | late | late | low |
| E.S. Muscat | hasty | intermediate | intermediate | intermediate | high |
| E.S. 4-23-60 | intermediate | intermediate | late | intermediate | medium |
| Adalmaïna | late | late | intermediate | intermediate | high |
| Osecola Muscat | hasty | intermediate | intermediate | hasty | high |

Table V: Injury caused by winter and spring frost for the different varieties

| | Winter frost | Spring frost 2010 |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Frontenac | 2.12 | ab |
| St-Croix | 1.33 | b |
| Marquette | 4.87 | ab |
| Baltica | 4.07 | ab |
| Petite Perle | 3.68 | ab |
| Radisson | 1.60 | ab |
| Skandia | 3.37 | ab |
| D.M. 85-21-1 | 5.72 | ab |
| Somerset | 6.59 | ab |
| Frontenac gris | 2.47 | ab |
| Frontenac blanc | 2.18 | ab |
| La Crescent | 2.90 | ab |
| E.S. 10-18-30 | 8.56 | a |
| Louise Swenson | 5.46 | ab |
| E.S. Muscat | 5.95 | ab |
| E.S. 4-23-60 | 3.33 | ab |
| Adalmaïna | 4.55 | ab |
| Osecola Muscat | 2.08 | ab |
| | 55.26 | ab |

Methods

The experimental vineyard is located in Québec (Canada) at the Abbaye d'Oká, Laurentian region ($45^{\circ} 30' N$, $74^{\circ} 42' W$, altitude 91.4 m). The experimental vineyard was established in spring 2008 and 18 hardy varieties were implanted (4 plots of 10 plants with a random distribution) (Tab. I). The soil is a gravelly loam with perfect drainage and training system is the Royal Cord (VSP) 30'. Several agronomic parameter were noted during five years: phenology, winter and spring freezing, vigour, lignification, bunch weight, berry weight, yield and resistance to fungal diseases and insect pests. Oenological characteristics are observed for the last 3 years and recorded parameters are: total acidity, Brix and pH for the monitoring in the field. Since two years, little wine production are carried out and complete laboratory analyzes are performed. Statistical analyses performed were ANOVA, Wilcoxon test and Tukey-Kramer test.

Table I: Varieties evaluated in the experimental vineyard.

| Varieties | Rusticity |
|-----------------|-------------|
| Frontenac | -37 à -34°C |
| St-Croix | -32 à -29°C |
| Marquette | -32 à -29°C |
| Baltica | -40 à -37°C |
| Petite Perle | 32 à -29°C |
| Radisson | -34 à -32°C |
| Skandia | -34 à -32°C |
| D.M. 85-21-1 | -40 à -37°C |
| Somerset | -37 à -34°C |
| Frontenac gris | -37 à -34°C |
| Frontenac blanc | -37 à -34°C |
| La Crescent | -32 à -29°C |
| E.S. 10-18-30 | -37 à -34°C |
| Louise Swenson | -32 à -29°C |
| E.S. Muscat | -32 à -29°C |
| E.S. 4-23-60 | -32 à -32°C |
| Adalmaïna | -34 à -32°C |
| Osecola Muscat | -32 à -29°C |

Table II: Susceptibility to fungal diseases and insects of the different varieties

| Varieties | Downy mildew | Powdery mildew * | Grey mold | Black rot | Anthracnose | Phylloxera |
|-----------------|--------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|
| Frontenac | - | + | + | +++ | ++ | - |
| St-Croix | ++ | + | na | + | na | ++ |
| Marquette | - | + | ++ | + | +++ | - |
| Baltica | na | ++ | na | + | ++ | + |
| Petite Perle | na | + | + | + | + | - |
| Radisson | na | ++ | na | + | na | - |
| Skandia | na | + | ++ | + | na | + |
| D.M. 85-21-1 | + | ++ | na | + | na | - |
| Somerset | na | ++ | na | na | na | - |
| Frontenac blanc | - | + | na | +++ | ++ | + |
| Frontenac gris | - | + | na | +++ | ++ | - |
| La Crescent | ++ | ++ | na | ++ | na | - |
| E.S. 10-18-30 | nd | ++ | na | ++ | + | +++ |
| Louise Swenson | na | + | + | na | + | - |
| E.S. Muscat | na | + | na | + | na | ++ |
| E.S. 4-23-60 | nd | + | + | + | na | ++ |
| Adalmaïna | + | ++ | ++ | + | + | - |
| Osecola Muscat | +++ | +++ | + | ++ | ++ | - |

Legend : - on leaf and not on branch; na = unavailable, - = tolerant, + = low susceptibility, ++ = medium susceptibility, +++ = high susceptibility

Table IV: Berry weight, bunch weight and yield of the different varieties

| | Berry weight (g) | Bunch weight (g) | Yield/plant (kg) |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Frontenac | 1.12 | fg | 92 a |
| St-Croix | 1.81 | bc | 52 fg |
| Marquette | 1.04 | fg | 57 fg |
| Baltica | 1.30 | d | 45 g |
| Petite Perle | 1.16 | ef | 86 abc |
| Radisson | 1.90 | b | 88 ab |
| Skandia | 1.25 | de | 19 h |
| D.M. 85-21-1 | 0.64 | h | 54 fg |
| Somerset | 1.33 | d | 75 bcd |
| Frontenac gris | 1.06 | fg | 93 a |
| Frontenac blanc | 1.02 | fg | 94 a |
| La Crescent | 1.30 | d | 62 def |
| E.S. 10-18-30 | 1.26 | de | 73 cde |
| Louise Swenson | 2.58 | a | 97 a |
| E.S. Muscat | 1.66 | c | 62 def |
| E.S. 4-23-60 | 0.71 | h | 22 h |
| Adalmaïna | 1.89 | bc | 60 ef |
| Osecola Muscat | 1.60 | d | 61 ef |

Note: The enological potential was established by laboratory tests, winemaking and wine tasting

Table VI: Yield date and oenological parameters for the different varieties

| | Date of harvest | Cumulative Degree/day | Brix | Total acidity | pH |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------|---------------|------|
| Frontenac | 5-10-2012 | 1469 | 25.27 | 10.07 | 3.35 |
| St-Croix | 18-09-2012 | 1426 | 22.70 | 5.14 | 3.39 |
| Marquette | 20-09-2012 | 1427 | 28.17 | 8.25 | 3.38 |
| Baltica | 30-08-2012 | 1252 | 24.90 | 6.96 | 3.05 |
| Petite Perle | 18-09-2012 | 1426 | 22.88 | 7.38 | 3.33 |
| Radisson | 7-09-2012 | 1348 | 21.29 | 5.01 | 3.47 |
| Skandia | 30-08-2012 | 1252 | 28.97 | 6.84 | 3.69 |
| D.M. 85-21-1 | 14-09-2012 | 1410 | 26.25 | 7.08 | 3.38 |
| Somerset | 7-09-2012 | 1348 | 24.7 | 7.3 | 3.45 |
| Frontenac gris | 25-09-2012 | 1441 | 26.75 | 9.91 | 3.23 |
| Frontenac blanc | 25-09-2012 | 1441 | 26.21 | 9.77 | 3.27 |
| La Crescent | 7-09-2012 | 1348 | 22.83 | 14.20 | 3 |
| E.S. 10-18-30 | 7-09-2012 | 1348 | 24.99 | 9.33 | 3.34 |
| Louise Swenson | 04-09-2012 | 1310 | 19.30 | 7.84 | 3.03 |
| E.S. Muscat | 30-08-2012 | 1252 | 24.78 | 7.96 | 3.08 |
| E.S. 4-23-60 | 7-09-2012 | 1348 | 23.02 | 10.14 | 3.3 |
| Adalmaïna | 30-08-2012 | 1252 | 19.41 | 7.56 | 2.99 |
| Osecola Muscat | 30-08-2012 | 1252 | 23.54 | 8.72 | 3.08 |

Table VII: Oenological potential of the different varieties

| Low potential | Medium potential | High potential |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| St-Croix | Radisson | Frontenac |
| Skandia | Petite Perle | Marquette |
| E.S. 10-18-30 | D.M. 85-21-1 | Baltica |
| La Crescent | E.S. Muscat | Frontenac blanc |
| E.S. 4-23-60 | Louise Swenson | Frontenac gris |
| | | Adalmaïna |

Discussion

The results obtained since the implanting of the vineyard reveal that some varieties have valuable agronomic traits, such as appropriate lignification, tolerance to winter and spring frosts, a well-balanced vigour, and a substantial yield in grapes. In addition, initial assessments of oenological parameters demonstrate the potential of some grape varieties that stand out for their ripening within our seasonal limitations and for their good winemaking characteristics. The annual observation of various agronomic and oenological parameters allows development of a public database on promising hardy varieties. Using this established scientific database, it will be possible for growers to select a variety according to its agronomic characteristics and the different climates and soils found in parts of Quebec. The selection of varieties may be made according to criteria of yield in grapes, for example, and depending on the specifics of each wine grape variety. Moreover, at the end of this project, it will be possible to suggest varieties that will produce good wines according to their oenological characteristics. This data can also be used by other Canadian provinces by adapting the results according to their growing conditions, soils and weather.

Bibliography

- Barriault, É.. 2011. Guide d'implantation de la vigne. Centre de Référence en Agriculture et Agroalimentaire du Québec, 118 p.
- Carisole, O., R. Bacon, J. Lassner and W. McFadden-Smith. 2006. Guide d'identification des principales maladies de la vigne. Agriculture Agroalimentaire Canada, Publication 10092F.
- Dubé, G. and J. Turcotte. 2011. Guide d'identification des cépages cultivés en climat froid. Cépages de cuve. Richard Grenier éditeur. 215p.
- Bostanian, N.J., C. Vincent and R. Isaacs. 2012. Arthropod management in vineyards: pests, approaches and future directions. Springer. 505p.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Château Taillefer-Lafon for their collaboration in the project, as well as Erik Schulz-Schomburg, Christophe Etchebar, Matteo Meglioli for their expertise. We also thank Stefano Campagnaro, Patrice Doré and Sébastien Charbonneau for their technical support.

Part of the funding for this project was provided through sector councils in Quebec, Ontario and New Brunswick that run the Canadian Agricultural Adaptation Program (CAAP) on behalf of Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada. In addition, this project was carried out in the framework of the Strategy to support food processing Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food of Quebec (TRANSQA).

